App F IX 4

Previous References
STB 32-50-1h6

19 52-53
(C M)

File No 5 5
Serial Nos 1, 2

Later References
CH 1-53-88, 89
CM 107-52-1, 2
CM

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos Two

p 57 : 7

Part B for Apml 52

SUBJECT

Allocation of Sm D Krishnaswamy and M Ramaiah of the Bangalore Corporation

L 529-31 / 107-4-52

File Comm / Corpn (Two) Mayo
" C, S A-D
" Auditor Corpn Bglore

Sanctions

WD 015467—GPB—25 000—12-9 51

6/12/52

G R S APP F III—1]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

NOTES

File No

FILE HEADING

Allocation of Sri D Krishnaswamy as Assistant Educational Superintendent and Sri M Ramiah as Market Inspector

FROM

The Commissioner Corporation of the City of Bangalore

No A 6 P R 10 / 50 51 Dated 20/29-6-51 Received

Diary No 2210 / mt	Enclos	Spare copies	Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Forwards for sanction the Resolution dated 28.5.51 of the Corporation on the Budget

NOTES AND ORDERS

The proposal is to allocate Sri D Krishnaswamy against the post of Assistant Educational Superintendent created from 8 6 47 on Rs 80 5-90/5 B 6-150 and fix him with weightage and two advance increment at Rs 102/- with effect from 13 8 47 and Sri M. Ramiah as Market Inspector on Rs 60-4-80 on Rs 60/- p.m + Rs 10/- p.a from 21 4 47 The post of Assistant creation of the Educational Superintendent created vide is which Sri

NOTES—First Sheet

WD 010865—GPB—20 000—2-8-50

D Krishnaswamy is proposed to be fixed also Regular Sanction of Cost

2) ~~The allocation of the Bldg~~ The Revision of pay in the Bldg City Municipality has been given effect to from 1-1-47. In the present proposals for D Krishnaswamy has been proposed to be fixed Senior weightage as a post created Subsequent to 1-1-47 ~~and therefore~~ (in the effect from 13-8-48) and also two advance increments in addition, and Sri M Ramiah is Recommended to be fixed with Senior weightage and two advance increment as Market Inspector in Scale Rs 60-4-80 to be given a Subsequent date only Rs 2/4 The desirability and justice of ~~Whether the~~ fixing of Senior weightage on a Subsequent date, and of two advance increments simultaneously with the Creation of weightage is a matter on which the Finance Dept has to offer an opinion

3) It is not clear from the proposal from the Concern, Received, What posts these grades held on or prior to 1-1-47 and what their pay was on that date, and how they did not get the benefit of Revision The Concern in the earlier portion of

22/10/51

Allocation of Sri D Ananthanarayana and Sri M Kamath to the B'lore Corporation

Para 2 of the letter stated that the grades of these two posts were not fixed as the general revision Committee is likely to make proposals on a lower scale, while on the latter part, the post categorically stated that the incumbents is stated to have been created from 3 6 47. The Govt are therefore requested to reconsider and expedite further action in the matter.

4) The SRs of the officials were also sent for reference

5) Sent for orders

Draft 5/7/51 6) Information may be called for, from the Bangalore Corporation

BR 7/7 7) Draft letter

7/7/51

8) Office Copies of the above letter to the Corporation of B'lore is put up for approval

Draft 10/7/51 BR 9) Issued

10/7/51

To

The Commissioner Corporation of the City of B'lore

Through the Mayor

L 3438/D/10/7/51

Calls for additional information

Sec A
18/7

Q210/mk

Allocation of Sri D Krishnaswamy and M Ramaiah of the Bldrs Corporation

From

The Collector, Corporation of Bldrs
A 6 Pr 10/50-51 dated 7-8-51
~~No. A 3030 dated/dated 10.4.51~~

Harassher No reference called for

10) Municipal Collection 10-4-6 (Sls 154 & 155) Selection in the post of an Assistant Asstt Superintendent on grade Rs 80-5-100 plus Rs 10 C A to assist the Asstt Superintendent and No. ~~4~~ 10-4-7) (Sls 61 and 62). Sri M Ramaiah as Market Inspector on grade Rs 60-4-80 with his advance increments plus Rs 10/- C.A are put up.

11) Collection 61-4-9-2 Sanctioning the allocation of the Bldrs Municipality as such the grade pay of the Asstt Asstt Superintendent is stated to have been further revised to Rs 80-5-90/6 x 6-150 has been put up for reference vide D.No. 2492 which is with the Financial Secretary and hence not readily available to be put up

12) Sri. D Krishnaswamy held the post of public Conveyance Inspector prior to 1.1.47 and was fixed at Rs 85/- on the revised grade of Rs 60-5-90-6-150 on 1.1.47. Subsequently when the post of Asstt Superintendent was created on G.O dated 13.8.47 on grade 80-5-100 he was promoted to this post from 13.8.47. Because of

3163/ml

Allocation of Sri D Veeraswamy and M Ramaiah of the B'lore Corporation

This proceedings incidentally following the allocation the Committee of Bravery weightage got merged in this

13) The pay of the post of Assistant Commissioner has been further revised to Rs 80 - 5 - 90 / E.B 6 - 150 vide G O dated 25. 50 and it is not clear from the Government Report from what date this Revision Comes into effect or the G O dt. Allocation Readily available for verification. (Vide para 11 above). In the absence of Specific information this Court has presumed that this Revision Comes into effect from the date of the order viz 25. 1. 50

14) The Corporation has however Resolved on 28. 51 approving the Recommendation of the Standing Committee (Taxation and Finance) that Sri D. Veeraswamy may be allocated on Rs 125/- in the Revised grade of Rs 80 - 5 - 90 / E.B 6 - 150 By this he get weightage in the grade Subsequent to 1. 1. 51 and in addition other increments

15) The following points in the proposal of the Corporation are for Consideration and orders

(a) Having been recalled once back to the cadre in order to be open

5163/mc

Allocation of Sri Raghavendra and Sri M. Ramesh of the B'lore Corporation

The question of allocation for a second time as a post to which he is subsequently promoted

(a) In addition to Service allowance Service weightage should be desirable to give one additional benefit for further of the address statements

(b) The further Revision of the Asst advice Superintendents posts stated in Govt have been sanctioned and G stated as 50 i.e. the Asst on which the allocation of the Sri B'lore city Municipality was sanctioned the allocation has come on to effect from 1.1.87 and it Rents have Compress to Direct the pay of the appeals on the Service. Rules ~~Sanctioned~~ How the Service of the post were incorporated in the Statement is not clear from without the allocation or on the absence of ~~Sanctioned~~ explanation to the Court the Revision of at all Rents by effective from the date of the order as the post did not exist in 1.1.87 How the allocation at Rs 1200/- as on 13.8.87 could be possible when the maximum of the post on that date was itself Rs 1000 Request ~~Reconsideration~~ Consideration

16) The allocation of Sri M. Ramesh

3163/pl

Allocation of Sri D Veeshanaswamy qua of M Ramaiah of the B'lore Corporation

Presently as Forest Inspector and on Rs 1024 cs grade Rs 60-5-90 E-B Rs 150 and his effect Years 21 4 cs and Service weightage and two additional increments is proposed

17) The Service Registers of the officials are also obtained and put up

18) Subs for orders

Regn
29/8/81 PO

19) Are there any cases in which the Corporation recommended sanction of advance increments to any official Corporation and Govt sanctioned the proposals

AM
30/8/81

20) There are no cases in which advance increments to any official of the Corporation were either recommended or sanctioned but in the case of Sri D Narasimha murthy, this pay as Head Accountant was fixed at the maximum of Rs 1587 in grade Rs 150-8-150

Regn
31/8/81 PO

21) Put up the connections leading to grant of Rs 150/- PM to Sri D Narasimhamurthy

22) The requisite Collections as noted in 19/8/81 put up and flagged 'P' PO 6/9/81

23) Please put the following

of PM Collection put up, L Reg no 3061/81
V. (CM 1-50 53)
Disbursement from PM no 3061 and put up
8/9/81

Allocation of Sri [illegible] Krishnamurthy and M Ranga of the B'lore Corpn to their new appointments

collections immediately, as the present collections does not give a complete idea re the former pay of Sri Narasimhamurthy and the grade

1 Collection leading to GO 2689-92
C M S-50-2 d 7-6-50
This is very urgent

9/9/57

Request 11-9-57

24) The Collection referred to at para 23 of the G.O. as stated

17/9/57

25 From the extract of the Proceedings of the ordinary Meeting of the Corporation held on 25-5-1957, it is seen that this subject has been discussed at length and a decision arrived at The Standing Committee for finance Flag and Taxation) has also recommended these cases to fix up the pay of Sri D Krishnamurthy at Rs 120/- from 13-4-1947 and that of Sri Ramaiah at Rs 102/- from 21-4-1947 respectively. The Corporation has got powers to appoint officers if the maximum monthly salary exceeds Rs 100/- (Section 89 (a) of the Act) In this case the

Flag X

Corporation has exercised its power and ~~recommended~~ sanction

26) In this connection it has to be brought to the kind notice of Govt that Govt accepted the resolution passed by the Corporation to pay 5 advance increments to one Sri D Narasimhamurthy Rao Head Accountant of the office and raised his pay from Rs 108 to Rs 150/- which is the maximum in case 110-8-150 This pay of Rs 150 was ordered to be given with effect from 1-4-1950 (= beg y) Rs this meant a slight change from that the Corporation had resolved, the Corporation again reiterated their proposal and said that the fixation of the pay of Sri D Narasimhamurthy Rao should be given effect to from 10-6-1947 When this point was examined, the opinion of the Law Secretary was taken and the opinion given is as follows:—

"Under Section 47 of the Corporation

3/ Allocation of Sri D Krishnaswamy & Sri Ramaiah
Pl of the Bangalore Corporation.

Act, the Govt can cancell the resolution of the Corporation if it is in excess of the powers of the Corporation conferred by the Act or the Rules made thereunder. Whether the fixation of the pay in this case is in excess of the powers of the Corporation is a matter for decision of the administrative side of latter, the resolution could be cancelled, but the Corporation has to be heard on the point. The Corporation has, however, a right to approach the High Court in such cases". Thus the resolution of the Corporation was approved not only This was a case in which 5 advance increments were given but the pay of Rs 150/- was given effect to retrospectively from 10-6-1947 (!)

27) As the case of Sri Krishnamurthy, and Sri Ramaiah, it is only a question of giving 2 advance increments and Sri D Krishnamurthy may be fixed at Rs 120 from 13-4-1947 and Sri Ramaiah at Rs 102 from 21-4-1947. Both of them are executive Subordinates and their good work must be rewarded

Secy
L S G)

13/1915)

28) What posts were these two Offrs holding on 1/4/47 were not

2163 [illegible]

Allocation of Sri D Krishnaswamy
Sri M Ramiah of the B'lore Corporation

=ltee. Scales. & these posts carries
If so what is the pay at which
these two offrs were allocated
on 1/11 When were the Scales
& pay Asst Deptn Supdt & Market
Inspr carried? - Can the Corporation
exercise greater powers than the
erstwhile city Dll Commr in
respect of matters as relating to
the period prior to the constitution
of the Corporation?

RG
14/9

29) Sri D Krishnaswamy held the post of
public conveyance Inspector on 1.11.47
in grade Rs 60 - 80 and Sri M Ramiah
held the post of Assistant Market Inspector
on 1.11.47 in grade Rs 35-2-50
30) The Scale pay of the above two post
were revised to Rs 60 5-90/6 B-6-150
and to Rs 50-3-80 respectively under
the general Revision. Sri. D Krishnaswamy
was allocated on Rs 85/- in the Revised
grade on 1.11.47 on the Service weightage

3162 Allocation of D Venkateswamy and
3163/Ors.

Allocation of Sri D Venkateswamy and Sri N Ramaiah of the B'lore Corporation

---

and Sri of Ramaiah on Rs 65/- in the Revised grade on 1.1.47. (please vide para 12)

31) As per the Covenant after the pay of the Asst. other Superintendents' post was sanctioned on 25.1.50 the Collector is not Readily available at the post in which D no 2492/Inl. (vide para 11).

The Revision of the pay of the Assistant Superintendent Inspector is Rs 60-5-90 -EB 6 150 has only been Revised upon by the Corporation and has not been sanctioned as yet. (please vide para 3 of Covenant letter dated 4.8.51)

32) Prior to the Constitution of the Corporation, the Corporation shall cannot be deemed to have actually come into force and the Corporation cannot possibly exercise more powers than the erstwhile City municipal Council in respect of matters relating to that period. Thus is one of the Reasons for the Corporation to have applied for Govt Sanction.

33) Subs for orders - Ref para 28 of the notes

ADM 15/9/51

34) My opinions given already in Paras 25, 26 and 27

Secy L.S.G. 17/9/51

No 6636 Date 1959

35) Weightage cannot be given while fixing the pay of Gifts in posts which were not in existence

Allocation of Sri D Krishna Swamy As attd Sanitary Octroi Superintendent And Sri M Ramaiah As Market Inspector

3163/mt

On 1-1-47 2 Nos will be promoted and Notice Issued

(Sent LSG)

19/9

19/9

36) 30/9/57

3/10

3/10

Office of the Minister for Local Self Government

No 3239-

Date 2.10.57

Date Rec'd 3/10

37) Office Copy of this Order Bills Corporation is put up for approval

4/10/57

4/10/57

38) Issued

5/10

Sec. A

To

The Commr

Corpn of the city of B'lore

- 3 (Thro the Mayor)

2 4570 / dated 15-10-57

3168/M V6263/M

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION.

Name of Paper / Date 195

From

The Commissioner, B'lore Corpn,
No. B 1-2 P R 10/51-52 Of 18 12 51
Makes a further Recommendation
for a Reconsideration

39) The previous proposal of the Corporation to allocate Sri Yellappa Reddy and Sri M Ramiah with weightage and increments in the posts of Assistant Commissioner and Market Inspector respectively was vetoed in this office letter No. h 7570 dated 15-10-51 on the ground that weightage cannot be given while fixing the pay of officials in posts which were not in existence on 1 1 47. (Vide paras 35 and 36 above)

40) The Commr. has now Recommended a Reconsideration of this Case of raise made on the following grounds:

41) The proposal is not one of granting weightage in the new appointments, but of granting some advance increments to these officials in view of their long ~~service~~ service and efficient service especially as they could not get much benefit under the Revision hereup. ~~to~~

3163/M/66-50/pl

Fixation of the pay of Sri. D. Krishnaswamy
Asst. Asst. Supdt. and Sri. M. Ramaiah as Pharmacist
Inspectors

Cases proceeded just about the time
the Revision was given effect to,
to the appointments in the higher
grades in which they are proposed
to be now given ~~a higher pay~~
and pay the benefit of a higher
pay. The ~~Corporation~~ Revising of the Cadres.
is observed that these Officials
were entitled the Service weightage
would have drawn Rs 80/- and
Rs 60/- respectively from 13 8 47
and 21 4. 47 being the minimum
of the Respective grades to which
they were proceeded immediately after
the Revision and as such not
getting as much benefit as their
colleagues after putting in such a
long Service. Their cases deserve to
be considered favourably for some
enhanced benefits in their new
appointments. But the Resolution of
the ~~of~~ Corporation is specifically
for allocation of their new appoint-
ments and the Councils contemplation
is therefore at variance with the
Recommendation of the Corporation

4) Even as stated, the case
this should be a case of grant
of three increments, it should be
noted that Sri. D. Krishnaswamy by
fixing his pay at Rs 120/-, as
recommended would be getting 6
advance increments and Sri M.

Vide U Gr 53/52

Fixation of the pay of Sri D. Krishnaswamy as Asst Superintendent and Sri M Ramaiah as Market Inspector

2nd M Ramaiah's advance increments

The Corporation may perhaps have to examine this and pass a Specific Resolution regarding the grant of advance increments that case appeals may be given Commensurate with the hardship, if any, they have actually suffered even when the power of the Corporation to sanction advance increments is perhaps only from 8.12.49 and not from an earlier date before the formation of the Corporation as has been recently pointed out by the Financial Secretary on the file relating to the fixation of the pay of Sri Advadaccare, a clerk of the Commissioner's Office

43) Sd for orders

Mas 11/1/52

BNR 11/1/52

* This file has been separately submitted for orders. 11/1/52

43 The resolution of the Corporation dated Standing Committee (Taxation and Finance) dated 1-12-50 was as follows: 'Recommended that Sri D Krishnaswamy, Assistant Octroi Superintendent and Sri M Ramaiah Market Inspector, be allocated on Rs 120/- and Rs 102/- from 13-8-47 and 21-4-1947 respectively" This was taken up for discussion by the Corporation in their meeting held 28-5-1951 This resolution was passed without any

amendments. While passing this Resolution, the Commissioner has stated that the subject had been discussed at great length by the Standing Committee and that by passing the resolution, it would mean only two advance increments to Sri D Krishnamoorthy and Sri M Ramaiah under the Circumstances represented by them and it was further urged in the Corporation meeting that there were no reasons to reconsider the matter Thus it is seen that both the Standing Committee for Taxation & Finance and also the Corporation have considered this subject in all its aspects and accepted the payment of increments

4th Secondly, from the report of the Comr dated 19-12-1957, it is seen that Sri D Krishnamoorthy was appointed to the said Post of Octroi Superintendent on Rs 80-5 pm from 13-8-47, in grade Rs 80-5-90 EB 13-6-150 After revision of pay and sanction of weightage to all officials, their appraisal was fixed on Rs 85 pm from 1-1-1947 The Commissioner reports that with all weightage, the difference in pay was Rs 5 only for a total service of 25 years Similarly in the case of Sri M Ramaiah, the difference in pay after sanction of weightage was Rs 8 pm This negligible increase

reported to have worked as a great hardship to both the officials

15 As regards the work of these officials, it is reported by the Comr, that Dr, Krishnaswamy is entrusted with assessment, collection, & supervision of Octroi Treasury and that he only have to set late in the night until cash is agreed & close the treasury & leave the office and that the demand under Octroi has doubled itself since the posting of these officials as Asst Octroi Supt in 1947 and that it is Rs 16 lakhs per year now Similarly, the income under public Conveyances is said to have increased considerably So much about their reported good work

16 Lastly, as the Corporation is having Separate Service Regulations for them and as they are recommending for sanction of additional increments to those officials whose work is found to be good, and as an Executive Engineer who was getting Rs 650/- p.m was allowed to be appointed on Rs 950/- p.m and as Govt appd these recommendations without any objection, the present recommendation also may be appd, as Govt is not necessary

6253/ME Taken off the pay of Sri D Krishna Swamy as Superintendent and Sri M Ramaiah as Market Inspector any expenditure in this behalf

Secy / DSG 12/1/52

47) What is the scale sanctioned to Asst Deputy Supdt & Market Inspr as per Schedule & estt appd by Govt recently?

DSG 18/1

18/1

48) The scale sanctioned under the Schedule of establishment to the Assistant Deputy Supdt is Rs 80-5-90/EB 6-150 and to the Market Inspector Rs 60-5-90/EB 6-150

Secy / DSG 20/1/52

Secy 19/1/52

DSG 19/1/52

49) Please put up a note leading to the order fixing the pay of Sri D Narasimha Murthy Rao at Rs 150/- from W.e.f 10-6-47 instead of 1-4-52

50) The Collector is requested to put up (Please quote file No.) DSG 21/1

Secy / DSG 23/1/52

23/1/52

23/1/52

21/1

51) I am of the opinion that the provisions of Section 47 of the Corporation Act do not apply when Govt modify the proposals of the corporation while according sanction to them, where the Act requires such sanction of Govt otherwise The powers of requirement that prior sanction of Govt should be obtained in certain cases would be meaningless, if Govt have to resort even those to Sec 47 to modify resolutions of the corporations which require prior sanction of Govt to become effective The provisions of Sec 47 will become applicable only when Govt want to modify cancel in whole or in part a resolution which has

already become effective do hold that the power of Govt to sanction resolutions of the Corporation in certain cases is limited only to approving what is done would reduce the Govt to the position of a mere "rubber stamp" to be affixed to whatever is done by the Corporation. Power to sanction implies not only authority to approve but also authority to withhold approval to the whole or any portion of any proposal

'A'

52) Even if it is held that a Govt Govt want to sanction any proposal of the Corporation with some modification, the procedure laid down in Sec 47 has to be followed. There is nothing to prevent Govt from withholding sanction to the proposals of the Corporation until they are

Allocation of Sri D. Krishnaswami
6253 and Sri M Ramaiah of Bldg Corporation

to modified by the Corporation 4438/Law
itself, as to be acceptable to Govt
Here visited with holding of sanction
does not amount to cancellation
of any resolution while the resolution
continues to be on record
~~remains in force~~; it cannot be given
effect to for want of sanction of Govt
It will be for the Corporation to find
out ways of obtaining sanction of
Govt ~~to modify~~ by modifying
the proposals

53) For favour of remarks of Govt
are bound to sanction the resolution
of the Corporation even if it is not-
acceptable to them
[Ref paras 10 & 11 of Hl coll'n (1-50/53 54)]
Law Secy)

Law
24/1
2nd/1/92

Law
11-2-92
25 J 1992

Allocation of Sm D Krishnaswamy
and Sm M Ramiah of Blue Corporate

6253
ME

4438/Law

54) If approved the ad suit will be required to modify such information as the following

(1) Under what provision is sanction to the proposal sought

(2) If the Corporation competent to make appointments to suit the provision under which it has proposed

(3) ~~If Whether~~

Asst Secy (Municipal) 55)
(Ins
29/1

MM on 5/11

29/1

Rec'd on 29/1/52

56) The Special Certificate now Recommended to cover the appeals covers a period prior to the constitution of the Corporation the Recommendation of the Corporation for allocation and grant of advances Government to those officials as the higher appointment has been on modification of the allocation sanctioned by the employees of the three city Municipalities at of for those reasons sanction a Book of Complete for

57) It may be pointed out in this connection that Sec 47 of the Corporation

Allocation of Sri D Krishnaswamy & Sri N Ramaiah
Of Bs' loan corporation B253/md

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION.

Name of Paper 443/Law Date ~~-~~ -195-

Reb has been decided under the Accountant Act the decision of the Govt is final and the petitioner appeal to the High Court which the Corporation exercised previously when Govt cancelled or modified a resolution has been taken away under

58) With the above clarification the file may be referred back to the Law Secretary.

CWPC Bijapur
31.1.52

Rec
31/1/52

Sec 31/1/52 59) The Secretary L S G has noted on the detail and has requested for legal opinion on certain points I do not want to note further at this stage until the Law Secretary observes that further information must be put up. The file may kindly be placed before the Law Secretary and sent to the Secretary L S G

A

Under Secretary Law Wks 1/2/52

Cd/Kind reference invited to "A" above and para 54. Law Secy 2.2.52

(Ch 37) Laws

61) Opinion files sent to me are first studied and worked up in the Law Branch - otherwise my work becomes difficult & impossible -

62) If, therefore, the Law Br finds any additional information necessary, such information is usually called for under the orders of U/S Law Br - The work of Secretaries would, I think, be facilitated if branch officers in such matters, Cooperate with ~~another~~ one another

63) In this case, no further note of the U/S ~~Law~~ Law Br was called for, except for passing orders to this Branch on para 57 - If he however, felt that the information called for was unnecessary he was welcome to say so & return the file to the Law Br, without minuting on the file being put to me & then sent to Min Secy's Secy -

Secy (Lab)

Addl Secy (Lab)

11646

S/2

S/2

MS/2

SCS/2

S/2

612

6253/Ind

Conversation of Sri S Krishnaswamy and Sri M. Ramiah of the B'lore Corpn

64) The information required by the Law Secretariat & Secretariat at paras 56 and 57 above

65) The file may now be referred to the Law Dept. for remarks on the points raised at para 53

Clear 8/12/52

8/12/52

66) The points on which the information is required by the Law Department are (1) under what provision is sanctions to the proposal-sought, and (2) if the Corporation is competent to make appointments to such posts, the provision under which it has so proposed

66) As regards the first point, there is no provision in the Corporation Act that the approval of Government is necessary to change the pay and allowances of the Corporation Establishment According to Section 90 of the Corporation Act, the Commissioner shall from time to time lay before the Standing Committee, a Schedule setting forth the designations and grades of officers and servants who should in his opinion constitute the Corporation Establishment and embbdying his proposals with regards to the salaries pay and allowances payable to them The Standing Committee may either approve or amend and then place it before the Corporation The Corporation shall sanction such schedule with such amendments as it considers necessary Hence the Corporation has full powers to sanction and fix the grades pay and allowances from time to time It is only in respect of appointments made under Sections 84 and 85,

Allocation of Sri D Krishnaswamy and Sri M. Ramiah of the B'lore Corporation

sanction of Government is necessary not only to appoint those officers and to fix their salaries and allowances but also to vary them In this case, it is not the appointment of a Head of a Department or of a an Officer for special work noted in para 85, but it is questionpf enhancing the pay ~~and fixing the grade~~ N. Section 90 is operative in this case

67) As regards the second point i e if the Corporat or is competent to make appointments to such posts, the provisions under which it has so proposed This question does not arise in this case. because, there is no question of appointing afresh Sri D Krishnaswamy and Sri H Ramaiah It is a question of sanctioning advance increments However attention is invited to Section 89 of the Corporation Act, which deals with the appointment of officials by the Commissioner, Corporation and Govt

68) Thirdly, Section 94 deals with the framing of Service Regulations But these regulations have not been framed and approved by Government Until these regulations are approved by Government, the point for decision will be whether Government can pass orders in individual cases

69) However it is to be brought to the notice of the Law Department that a similar case came up before Government for orders This was the case of Sri D Narasimhamurthy Rao The recommendation was that he must be given Rs 150/- per mensem from 10-6-1947 This in effect meant that 5 advance increments should be given to him The Law Secretariat was consulted The Law Secretariat fully endorsed the resolution of the Corporation and ultimately the resolution was sanctioned (Vide para 10 of the linked file

6253/mc

6253/mc 4697/Laws

Allocation of Sri D Krishnaswamy and Sri M Ramiah of the Bangalore Corporation

Therefore the principles that guided the Law Secretariat to approve the recommendations of the Corporation have to be applied in toto in this case also The circumstances, I think, remain the same

Under Secy. (Law) 11/2/52

9) Reference is invited to the particulars set out at paras 66 and 67 From these particulars it is clear that the matter of sanctioning advance increments, in the present case, is not one for which the sanction of Government would be necessary under the provisions of the Corporation Act 1949 It may be that the resolution relates partly to matters which existed at a period prior to the date on which the Corporation Act came into force But it cannot be said that it would not be within the competence of the Corporation to pass such a resolution, since the Corporation was within its powers in passing such a resolution and because no sanction of Government is necessary under the Corporation Act in respect of the matter to which the resolution relates the prayer of the Corporation for sanction of Government appears to be a mere superfluity from the legal point of view This resolution could have been submitted to Government only under sec 47(1) of the Act, sec 47 does not say that any sanction of Government would be necessary ~~If the~~

But, in such cases in which the Government deems it necessary and proper, action may be taken under sub sec (2) of sec. 47. As to whether in any particular case such action would be called for is a matter for determination by the administrative heads. The view as at A in para 5/ would be legally correct in so far as it is applicable to only those cases in which sanction of the Government is necessary under the law

Lawley)



7) Sec 47 of the Corporation Act ~~declaratory~~ ~~of the Boundaries~~ applies in my opinion to all resolutions. Sub sec (1) requires the Mayor to submit to Govt copies of all resolutions. Subsec (2) gives power to Govt to cancel any resolution in whole or in part - but significantly it does not empower Govt to modify a resolution as ~~is proposed~~ is in the case of a by-law. The Proviso prescribes the procedure before any action is taken under this subsection - If Govt take no action under sec 47(2), then Sec 24 lays an obligation on the Standing Committee of the Corp to give effect to every resolution as

the Corporation – There is no reference in either
Sec 24 or 47 to any sanction by Govt of
the resolution of the Corporation, as a condition
precedent to it being given effect to –

72) The question of sanction arises only in
respect of acts or powers which a Corporation
cannot do or exercise except with the
sanction of Govt, under the Act. For instance
under Sec 73 (6) no property can be granted
by a Corporation free of cost except with the
previous sanction of Govt In such a case
no resolution should be passed by the
Corpn granting such a grant except after
obtaining the sanction of Govt. If a
resolution is however passed without such
sanction but is sent to Govt for sanction,
the Resolution is in law only a proposal
& not a resolution which can be given
effect to under Sec 24 by the Corpn –
It is only after sanction of Govt & subject to it that it becomes
an executable Resolution – In granting or
withholding sanction of such a proposal though
given the form of a Resolution Govt is not
bound to follow the procedure under Sec 47(3)

46 97 Laws

Applying the above principles to the present Case on this file, the main point that arises is if the allocations recommended are within the powers of the Corporation absolutely or subject only to sanction by Govt — As a matter of fact, the Comr's letter forwarded by the Mayor asks for sanction of Govt, thereby admitting that such sanction is required - But so far as the provisions of the Corporation Act are concerned, I see no provision requiring such sanction in such Cases - Sec 84 to be evidently does not apply to appointments except if they be as heads of departments under the Comr — Even here it is only to fix the salary & allowances of the appointment that sanction of Govt is necessary - As for secs 89, 90 & 94, I am inclined to agree with the views expressed in paras 16 to 68 above —

13098
1073

Secy (Leg)

9/3

9/3

73) In view of the legal opinion there are only two alternatives viz either to accept the resolution of the Corporation or to cancel it. The questions involved are not so

Allocation of I/Sri D. Krishna Swamy
of Bijnor Corporation

L5-3
Rel

important or fundamental as to
call for the exercise of the extra
ordinary powers of Govt. under
Sec 47 Hence the recommendation
of the Commr may be accepted

Hon F&SV
Hon
Law Hon Secys

JC
18/3
12/3

(1582)
18/3

15/3/52

17/3

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER
FOR FINANCE & INDUSTRIES.
No. 5312
Date 18.3.52

May see remarks
Hon Dir of Ph..

Seen
21/3/52

Hon LSG).

24/3

(6699)
24/3/52

27/3/52

27/3

Addl Co. as put up for
approval.
21/3/52

Issue
24/3

Allocation of Sri D Krishnaswamy & A Ramaiah of the B'lore corpn

[App F III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 6253 of 19
DG

WD 015452—GPB—PZS—40 000—1 10-51

Serial No

To

The commissioner
corporation of the city of B'lore
(thro the Mayor)
The Controller & A &
The Auditor of corpn of the city of
Bangalore

L 529 - 31 | C & S-S-2 2.

Sanctions

Bft Letter & the Corporation, B'lore Corporation's part cp for approval.

Draft 12/4/52
Sd/- 12/4

Issue
16/4

To

The commissioner
corpn of the city of B'lore
(thro the Mayor)

L 1078/2 S R 18-4 S 2

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Allocation of I/Sri D Krishna Swamy Rao M Ramiah
of Bank Corporation

13/3/52

important or fundamental as to call for the exercise of the extra-ordinary powers of Govt under Sec 47 Hence the recommendation of the Commr may be accepted

Hon F & S ✓
Hon
Law Hon Secy

Secy 18/3 12/3

3/3/52

Secy 17/3 18/3







May see and return to Hon Rev Govt.

Noted 22/3/52

Hon L Sec ✓



Secy 24/3 24/3

Hon 27/3

On 27/3/52

Addl Sec 27/3



Addl Sec. is put up for approval.

Issue 28/3

Addl Sec 31/3/52

Govt 1/4

Allocation of Sri S Krishnaswamy & A Ramaiah of the B'lore corpn

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No 6253 of 19
dcp

[App F III-2

WD 015452—GPB—PZS—40 000—1 10-51

Serial No

To

The Commissioner
corporation of the city of B'lore
(thro the Mayor)
The Controller & A.A.
The Auditor of corpn of the city of
Bangalore
L 529 - 31 | C & S-5-52.

Sanctions

Both Cellar & the Coconuts, B'lore Corporation is sent up for approval.

Done
12/4/52
Sd/-
12/4
16/4

To
The Commissioner
corpn of the city of B'lore
(thro the Mayor)
L 1078/25 R 18-4-52

TE—Contn Sheet

File No	of 19	General and Revenue Secretariat	
		Serial No	

CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE

No A6 Pr.10/50-51
Enc four two resolution and two triplicate Statement of proposition and allocation

CORPORATION OFFICES,
BANGALORE

Dated 20th June 29 1951

FROM

~~MIRZA MEHDI HUSSAIN, Esq., B.A.,~~
COMMISSIONER, BANGALORE CORPORATION,
BANGALORE.



To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local Self-Government Department,
BANGALORE

SIR,

Subject - ALLOCATION OF ~~SRI~~. D.KRISHNASWAMY AS ASSISTANT OCTROI SUPERINTENDENT AND SRI M RAMIAH AS MARKET INSPECTOR ETC

In enclosing herewith the proposition and allocation statement of the posts of Assistant Octroi Superintendent and Market Inspector and allocating the above two officials, I write to state as follows -

The Standing Committee (Taxation & Finance) and the Corporation at their meetings held on 1st December 1950 and 28th May 1951 respectively have approved for the revision of the grades of the above two posts and to allocate these two officials with weightage and advance increments The grades of these two posts were not revised in the General Allocation sanctioned by Government in their order No L 7959-61/C M. 61-48-2 dated 25th January 1950, as both the posts were not existing on 1-1-1947. The post of the Assistant Octroi Superintendent is created from 3-6-1947 and Sri D. Krishnaswamy is appointed as such with effect from 13-8-1947, while the post of the Market ~~Sup~~ Inspector in grade 60-4-80 was created as per G.O.No L.14323-4-/MI 10-47-62 dated 4-3-1948 and Sri M Ramaiah was fixed on Rs.68/- in the above grade with personal allowances of Rs.10/- from 21-4-1947

I therefore request you to kindly obtain orders of Government to the proposition and allocation statements enclosed herewith as resolved by the Corporation.

Yours faithfully,

COMMISSIONER

21/6/51

Forwarded with compliments

R Anantaraman

MAYOR

TNL/-
20/6/51

Extract from the Proceedings of the Ordinary Meeting of the Corporation of the City of Bangalore, held on 28th May 1951

No.17. Recommendation of the Standing Committee (Taxation and Finance) dated 15th March 1951 that there is no reason to recommendations made regarding the allocation of Sri.D Krishnaswamy Assistant Octroi Superintendent and Sri M.Ramaiah, Market - Inspector, on Rs.120/- and Rs 102/- from 13th August 1947 and 21st April 1947 respectively.

Sri.H.S.Seetharam moved "Resolved that the recommendation of the Standing Committee (Taxation and Finance) dated 15th March 1951, that there is no reason to reconsider the recommendations made regarding the allocation of Sri.D.Krishnaswamy, Assistant Octroi Superintendent and Sri M.Ramiah, Market Inspector on - Rs.120/- and Rs.102/- from 13th August 1947 and 21st April 1947 respectively, be approved".

Srimathi Thripurasundaramma seconded the resolution

Sri Dayananda Sagar moved an amendment "that both Messrs D.Krishnaswamy and M.Ramiah be fixed on Rs.120/- each" with - effect from the dates as noted against each.

Sri Narayanappa seconded the amendment.

The Commissioner xx replied that the Standing Committee (Taxation and Finance) had considered the subject in great detail and had recommended two advance increments to each of the - officials under the circumstances represented by them if Sri M.Ramian were to be fixed on Rs.120/- it would mean five advance increments to Sri M.Ramiah and only two advance increments to Sri D.Krishnaswamy which may not be in order".

The amendment was put to vote and lost

The Mayor then put the original resolution as moved by Sri H.S.Seetharam and it was passed.

Sd/ R.Anantharaman

Mayor

"Copy"

N Seetharam

FOR COMMISSIONER.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE (TAXATION & FINANCE) HELD ON THE 1ST.DECEMBER 1950.

---

11. Resolution No.18 dated 21-9-50 of the Corporation that the /recommendation of the Standing Committee (Taxation & Finance) dated 7-7-1950 in regard to the allocation of Sri.D.Krishnaswamy, Asst.Octroi Superintendent and Sri.M.Ramiah, Market Inspector, as per office note dated 21-6-50 be referred to that Committee for reconsideration.

Resolution:- Recommended that Sri.D.Krishnaswamy, Assistant Octroi Superintendent and Sri M.Ramiah, Market Inspector, be allocted on - Rs.120/- and Rs 102/- from 13th August 1947 and 21st April 1947 - respectively

Sd/- K.Narayan

Chairman,
Standing Committee (Taxation & Finance).

"Copy"

FOR COMMISSIONER

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No L 343 S

General and Revenue Secretariat,

Dated Bangalore, 10 7 1951

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
L.S.G.Department, Bangalore.

To

The Commissioner,
Corporation of the City of Bangalore,
B a n g a l o r e (through the Mayor)

SIR.

Sub - Allocation of Sri D.Krishnaswamy as Assistant Octroi Superintendent and Sri H Ramiah as Market Inspector

---

With reference to your letter No A 6.P R.10/50-51 dated the 20th/29th June 1951 on the above subject, I am directed to enquire what posts these officials held prior to 1-1-1947, what their pay on that date was and what was the reason for their not getting the benifits of revision. It has been stated in your letter that the grades of these two posts in which Sriyuts Krishnaswamy and Ramiah are now proposed to be fixed up were not revised in the general allocation which suggestively indicates their existence on a lower scale even prior to the revision, while latterly it is given to understand that the posts did not at all exist on 1-1-1947 and were created on a subsequent date perhaps for the benifit of these two officials. The two are therefore inconsistent requiring your further explanation Also if as understood these posts are to be created afresh sufficient reasons have to be ascribed as to the need for these appointments as the proposal involves a heavy recurring expenditure and posts cannot be created for the benifit of officials or for the convenience of fixing them in suitable places either by way of compensation for past neglect or as an encouragement. Information as to how the vacancies to be released consequent on the appointment of Sriyuts Krishnaswamy and Ramiah in these new posts would be utilised may be furnished

The Service registers of the officials may also be sent for reference

for reference.

Yours faithfully,

For Secretary to Government,
L.S.G Department.

hsc-3(10-7)

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT Allocation of Sri D Krishnaswamy as Assistant Salem Superintendent and Sri N Ramiah as Market Inspector

3 Copies

Section

File No

Serial No

Diary No of letter replied to

No L-3438/51 10-7-51

Publicity Office

( )

the 194

To

The Commissioner
Corporation of the city of
Madras through the Mayor

Date of issue

Sir

Signed by

With Reference to your Letter no A G P R 10/50-51 dated 20/29-6-51 on the above Subject, I am directed to enquire what posts these officials held prior to 1.1.47, what their pay on that date was and what was the Reason for their not getting the benefit of Revision. It has been stated in your Letter that the grades of these two posts and which Sri Krishnaswamy and Ramiah are now proposed to be fixed cap were not Revised

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

in the general allocation which respectively could claim their existence on a lower scale were prior to this revision, but which cannot at present be understood that- the posts do not exist on 1/1/47 and were created on a subsequent date. The two are therefore inconsistent figures upon further explanation. Also it is understood these posts are to be created after different reasons have to be agreed as to the need for these appointments as the proposal involves a heavy recurring expenditure and posts cannot be created for this purpose & approvals [illegible] information as to how the vacancies to be filled consequent on the appointments & promotions mentioned and Records in these new posts would be altered may be furnished.

The S R S also approval may also be sent for reference

Yours faithfully

[illegible]
for Secy
-Reg
10/7/51

perhaps for the transfer of these two Medals.

or for the Convenience from this to place as the to was place -tion for post replaced or as an encouragem

Reqe-23/8/51.

CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE

14 Ap. 15/8

No A6.Pr.10/50-51
Enc Service Register
Two each

CORPORATION OFFICES,
BANGALORE

Dated - 7th August 1951

FROM

N S HIRANNAYYA, ESQ, M A,
COMMISSIONER,
CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE,
BANGALORE

To



The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local Self Government Department,
Bangalore.

SIR,

Subject - ALLOCATION OF SRI D KRISHNASWAMY AS ASSISTANT OCTROI SUPERINTENDENT AND SRI M RAMIAH AS MARKET INSPECTOR

With reference to your letter No L.3438 dated 10th July 1951 on the above subject, I write to state as follows -

2) Sri D Krishnaswamy held the post of the Public Conveyance Inspector in grade 60-4-80 prior to 1-1-1947. The grade of the Public Conveyance Inspector is revised to 60-5-90-EB-6-150 and his weightage was considered in this grade in the general revision and allocation sanctioned by the Government Then when the post of the Assistant Octroi Superintendent in grade 80-5-100 was sanctioned in G.O No.L 16657-8/M1.10-46-155 dated 3-6-1947 Sri D Krishnaswamy was promoted to this post from 13-8-1947. The revision of the Assistant Octroi Superintendent post from 80-5-100 to 80-5-90-EB-6-150 is sanctioned in the G.O No.L.7959-61/C.M.61-48-2 dated 25-1-1950. Though the grade of the post is revised, as the post was not existing on 1-1-1947 and no incumbant was working, it is now resolved by the Corporation for the fixation of the pay of Sri D Krishnaswamy against this post from the date he is appointed as such, as already intimated in this office letter of even number dated 29th June 1951

P T O

3) Sri M. Ramaiah held the post of an Assistant Mar Inspector in grade 30-2-50 prior to 1-1-1947 which is revised to 50-3-80 in the general revision and he was fixed at Rs.65/- in the revised grade from 1-1-1947 in the Allocation sanctioned by the Government. Thereafter as it was found necessary to revise the establishment of the Market, Government in their order No.L.14323-4/M1.10-47-62 dated 4-3-1948 have sanctioned the post of a Market Inspector among other revision in grade 60-4-80 and fixed Sri M Ramaiah at Rs.68/- from 21-4-1947. As this post also was not existing on 1-1-1947 and no incumbant was working as such, now the Corporation resolved for the revision of the grade of the Market Inspector to 60-5-90-EB-6-15 and to fix Sri M. Ramaiah with weightage in the revised grade from the date of his appointment It is not for the benefit of these officials that the grades were revised, but to bring them with a par the grade of similar posts in the Corporation The vacancies relieved by these officials will be filled under the rules of C.R.B. The Service Registers of the officials as desired by you are enclosed herewith.

4) I therefore request you to kindly obtain early orders of Government to the resolution of the Copporation.

Forwarded with compliments

R Mantaramman
MAYOR

Yours faithfully,

K Ramaswamy
COMMISSIONER 7/8

7/8/51

TNL/-
7/8/51.

M. P R. 8035 Ever 15 Q
51.52

Office of the Revenue Officer,
Corporation of Bangalore,
B angalore, dated 2nd Aug 1951

M E M O

Subject -
Allocation of Sri D Krishnaswamy, Assistant Octroi S uperintendent and Sri M Ramiah as Market Inspector

Submitted to the Commissioner, Corporation of the City of Bangalore for kind perusal

Begs to report as follows--

1 Sri M Ramiah was the permanent Assistant Market Inspector on Rs 50/- in grade Rs 30-2-50 plus Rs 10/- personal allowance prior to 1-1-1947 He was fixed on Rs 65/- in grade Rs 50-3-80 in the allocation of 1-1-1947 as Assistant Market Inspector

2 The Fstablishment of the Market was revised in G-O No L 14323-4/M1 10-47-62 dated 4-3-1948 and Commissioner's memo No A5 C 287/47-48 dated 11-3-1948 Sri M Ramiah was fixed on Rs 68/- in 60-4-80 plus Rs 10/- personal allowance per month as Permanent Market Inspector with effect from 21-4-1947 ~~This office~~ Since the post of the Market Inspector was recently created then, perhaps it was not revised during the General Revision of the year 1947

3 The post of the Market Inspector was not created for the benefit of this official The entire establishment of the Marke was revised in view of bare necessity as per G O No L 14323-4/M1 47-62 dated 4-3-1948 The Service Register accompanies for kind peference

b. Ahmad
Revenue Officer

sls
2/8/51

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER
15/a/8
3 AUG 1951
MUNICIPAL CORPORATION BANGALORE

No. L

L 7570
15 10 51

To

The Commissioner
Corporation of the city
of B'lore
(through the Mayor)

Subject: Allocation of
Sri D Veeraswamy, as
Assistant Section Superintendent
and Sri M Ramaiah as
Market Inspector

—

Sir,

With Reference to the
Correspondence ending with
your letter No A.C.P.R 10
50-51
dated 7 8 51 on the above
subject I am directed to
state
point out that complete
cannot be given while
fixing the pay of officials
on posts which were not in
existence on 1 1 47

Yours faithfully
for
Chief Secretary
4/10/51

CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE

No B12.P.R.10/51-52

CORPORATION OFFICES,
BANGALORE

Dated 18th December *195*

FROM

N S HIRANNAYYA, ESQ, MA,
COMMISSIONER,
CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE,
BANGALORE

C M 5-52-1

TO

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local Self Government Department,
Bangalore.

Sir MAS

SIR,

Subject - ALLOCATION OF SRI D KRISHNASWAMY AS ASSISTANT OCTROI SUPERINTENDENT AND SRI M RAMAIAH AS MARKET INSPECTOR

***

3163

With reference to your letter No.L.7570 dated the 15th October 1951, on the above subject, stating that weightage cannot be given while fixing the pay of officials in posts which were not in existence on 1st January 1947, I write to state as follows.

This is not a question of granting weightage in the new appointments, but a case of granting them some advance increments in view of the following circumstances

The post of the Assistant Octroi Superintendent was created as the work of the Deparatment in the collection of Octroi duty was increasing heavily when compared to previous years and the staff to cope with the work even with the then collections of Rs.7 to 8 lakhs was inadequate. This post was sanctioned in Government Order No.L 16637-8/M1.10-46-115 dated 3rd June 1947 in scale Rs.80-5-100 in conformity with the scale of pay of the Octroi Superintendent viz., Rs.100-10-150-25/2-250. Sri D Krishnaswamy was appointed to the said post under Council resolution dated 7th August 1947, starting in the minimum pay o Rs.80/- when he took charge on 13th August 1947. This grade was revised in General Revision as Rs.80-5-90-EB 6-150.

p t

As per rules for grant of weightage to all officials, his pay was fixed on Rs.85/- from 1st January 1947 in his post of Public Conveyance Inspector, which he held on the said date. Thus the difference in pay with all weightage was only Rs.5/- for a total service of over 25 years. This has worked as a hardship since the promotion to a higher appointment on account of seniority and competency as well as the service of 25 years did not benefit him in any way.

Similarly the post of Market Inspector was created in Government Order No L.14323-4/Ml.10-47-6 dated the 4th March 1947 in grade 60-4-80 and Sri M. Ramaiah was promoted to this post from 21st April 1947. He was fixed on Rs.68/- with weightage under the rules. The difference of Rs.8/- over the minimum of the new post has worked as a great hardship.

The work entrusted to both these officials is onerous and responsible. The Octroi Assistant Superintendent is entrusted with assessment, collection and supervision of Octroi Treasury. He will have to sit late in the night until the cash is agreed and close the Treasury before he leaves the office as he is entrusted with the Treasury charge also. The demand under Octroi has doubled itself since he was posted as Assistant Octroi Superintendent in 1947 and it is now nearly 16 lakhs a year. Consequently the work of assessment, collection etc., has increased greatly and he has been coping with the work to the best of his ability So also the work in the Public Conveyance Department has increased and it has shown increased revenue especially under Cycles.

Under the above circumstances, the question was examined in various stages by this office as also by the Standing Committee (Taxation & Finance) and a recommendation was finally approved by the Corporation to fix the pay of Sri D Krishnaswamy on Rs.120/- from 13th August 1947 in the grade of Assistant Octroi Superintendent in the existing grade Rs.80-5-90/EB.6-150 and also fir Sri M Ramaihh on Rs.102/- from 21st April 1947 after revising the grade pay of the Market

Market Inspector as Rs.60-5-90/E.B. 6-150.

It is considered that in fairness to these officials and the heavily increased duties they are looking after, they may be given the same encouragement by way of starting them on a higher pay as in the case of Sri D. Narasimha Murthy Rao, Head Accountant and Sri G. V. Thirumalachar, Cashier etc. The grade pay of the Market Inspector is Rs.60-4-80. It is proposed to revise this grade to Rs.60-5-90/E.B. 6-150 and fix Sri Ramaiah's pay at Rs.102/-.

I therefore request you to kindly obtain sanction of the Government to the resolution of the Corporation early.

•Forwarded with compliments

R Mantaramam

MAYOR

MKR.

Yours faithfully,

COMMISSIONER.

19/1/51

L 529-31/CHS 52

Copy

11/4/52

Proceedings of the Court of Mysore

Read:

Correspondence ending with letter no. B 12-PR 10/51 52 dated 18.12.51 from the Commissioner, Corporation of the City of Bhore requesting sanction to the transfer dated 28.5.51 of the Corporation Recommending in the circumstances stated that Sri D. Krishna Swamy, Assistant acting Superintendent be allocated on Rs 120/- p.m. in grade Rs 80-5-90/E.B.-6-150 with effect from 18.8.1949 and Sri. M. Ramaiah, Market Inspector, be allocated on Rs 102/- p.m. in grade Rs Rs 60-5-90/E B.-6-150 with effect from 21.4.49

Order no. 4

The above Recommendation of the Corporation is Sanctioned

Sd/- 2/4
for L.S.G Secy
31/2/52

To

1) The Commr, Corpn of the City of Bhore (through the Mysore)
2) " Controller, S.A.D.
3) " Auditor, Corpn of the City of Bhore

11/4/52

G R S App F G 3]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

~~(Continuation sheet draft form)~~

No. 4 1048/2 S.R.
18.4.52

To

The Commissioner
Corporation of the city
Bijapur
(through the Manager)

Sir

In continuation of Govt. No. 4 529-31/C.M. 5-52-2 dated 7.4.52 sanctioning the revised allocation of liquids to Residencies and M. Rameshwaram, I am directed to forward herewith Civil Service Regulations, the receipt of which may kindly be acknowledged.

Yours faithfully
Sd/-
Asst. Sec.
12/4/52

WD 010855—GPB—2,000—18.7.50

T-PR 107/51 52
25-7-1951

Office of the Octroi Superintendent,
Corporation of Bangalore,
Bangalore, dated 23rd July 1951

MEMO

Subject:-
Allocation of Sri D.Krishnaswamy as Assistant Octroi Superintendent and Sri M Ramiah as Market Inspector

Ref - A6 P R 10/50-51 dated 19-6-1951

No 2374/1/8

26 JUL 1951

Submitted to the Commissioner, Corporation of the City of Bangalore

Sri D. Krishnaswamy ~~had been~~ was appointed as P C Inspector from 1-7-1945 as per Council resolution dated 31-3-1945 on Rs 60/- in grade Rs 60-4-80 On 1-1-1947 he was drawing Rs 64/- His pay was raised to Rs 72/- as per Government Order No L 16634-5/ML 10-46-157 dated 3-6-1947 and with the usual annual increment due on 1-7-1947 his pay was Rs 76/- in grade Rs 6044-80

He was promoted from the post of P C Inspector on Rs 76/- as Assistant Octroi Superintendent on Rs 80/- in grade Rs 80-5-100 as per Council resolution dated 7-8-1947 which post had been sanctioned in Government Order No L 16637-8/Ml 10-46-155 dated 3rd June 1947

The ~~post~~ pay of the Assistant Octroi Superintendent as also that of the P C Inspector are revised in the General revision and Sri D Krishnaswamy was allocated on Rs 85/- in the revised grade of P C Inspector's post, viz 60-5-90-E B 6-150 as per Government Order No L 7959-61/CM 61-48-2 dated 25-1-1950 He is working as Assistant Octroi Superintendent in the revised grade viz Rs 80-5-90-E B -6-150 from 13-8-19

The post of the Assistant Octroi Superintendent was created in view of dire necessity and was not created for the benefit of any individual on past neglect or by way of compensation.

Further the post was created under the then standard scales of pay existing in the Municipality and later revised under the General Revision retaining the minimum pay at Rs 8(and is only comparable to the grade pay of the First Divisio Clark and the post is designated as Assistant Octroi Superintendent

The weightage granted to Sri D Krishnaswamy under the General allocation in the grade of Rs 60-5-90-EB-6-150 as P C Inspector which post he held on 1-1-1947 has worked as a great hardship in view of his long and clean service of 29 years and his impending superannuation. He has not drawn his weightage in his new appointment as Assistant Octroi Superintendent to which post he was promoted subsequent to

CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE

ALLOCATION-STATEMENT.

Sl.No.	NAME	DESIGNATION.	POSITION IN THE PRESENT GRADE.			ALLOCATION IN THE REVISED XXXXX SCALE.				
			Date of entry into service.	Total service on 13-7-47.	Pay in the present grade 13-7-47.	Date of promotion to present pay.	Initial pay - according to Cl.4 and - revised grade.	Pay in the - weightage according to Cl.4 & 5	Qualification.	Remarks
				Y.M.D.						
	Sri.D.Krishnaswami	Assistant Octroi Superintendent.	21-8-1922.	24-10-23	Rs.80/- 80-5-100.	13-8-47	Rs 80/- 80-5-90 E.B.6-150.	Rs.108/-	S S L C.Rs.120/ fixed according to the - resolution of the - Corporation dated - 28-5-1951.	
2.	Sri. M.Ramaiah	Market Inspector.	4-4-1920	27-0-17	Rs.68/- 60-4-80	21-4-47	Rs.68/- 60-5-90/E.B. 6-150.	Rs 102/-		Rs.102/- fixed - according to the - resolution of the - Corporation dated - 28-5-1951.

COMMISSIONER,
CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE

to 1-1-1947 and as per provision in Government Order No. [illegible] directing report all cases of hardship etc., the Finance and Taxation Committee having gone into the question in detail on the recommendation of the Commissioner have resolved to fix the pay of Sri D. Krishnaswamy in the sanctioned revised grade of Rs. 80-5-90-EB-6-150 with effect from 13-8-1947 from which date he is working as Assistant Octroi Superintendent. The Corporation at their meeting held on 28-5-1951 have approved of the same, fixing the pay of Sri D Krishnaswamy on Rs. 125/- in grade Rs. 80-5-90-EB-6-150 with effect from 13-8-1947

Since Sri D Krishnaswamy has been working satisfactorily all along, sanction of Government to the resolution may kindly be obtained early. His Service Register is in the Commissioner's Office

A Octroi Superintendent

CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE

ALLOCATION STATEMENT.

Sl.No.	NAME	DESIGNATION.	POSITION IN THE PRESENT GRADE.			ALLOCATION IN THE REVISED ~~XXXXX~~ SCALE.			
			Date of entry into service.	Total service on 13-7-47.	Pay in the present grade 13-7-47.	Date of promotion to present pay.	Initial pay - according to Cl.4 and - revised grade.	Pay in the - weightage according to Cl.4 & 5.	Qualification. Remarks
				Y.M.D.					
1.	Sri.D.Krishnaswami	Assistant Octroi Superintendent.	21-8-1922.	24-10-23	Rs.80/- 80-5-100.	13-8-47	Rs 80/- 80-5-90 E.B.6-150.	Rs.108/-	S S L C.Rs.120/- fixed according to the - resolution of the - Corporation dated - 28-5-1951.
2.	Sri. M.Ramaiah	Market Inspector.	4-4-1920	27-0-17	Rs.68/- 60-4-80	21-4-47	Rs 68/- 60-5-90/E.B. 6-150.	Rs 102/- -	Rs.102/- fixed - according to the - resolution of the - Corporation dated - 28-5-1951.

COMMISSIONER,
CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE.

CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE.
ALLOCATION-STATEMENT.

Sl.No.	NAME.	DESIGNATION.	POSITION IN THE PRESENT GRADE.				ALLOCATION IN THE REVISED XXXXX SCALE.			
			Date of entry into service.	Total service on 13-7-47.	Pay in the present grade 13-7-47.	Date of promotion to present pay.	Initial pay - according to Cl.4 - and - revised grade.	Pay in the - weightage according to Cl.4 & 5.	Qualification.	Remarks
				Y.M.D.						
1.	Sri.D.Krishnaswami	Assistant Octroi Superintendent.	21-8-1922.	24-10-23	Rs.80/- 80-5-100.	13-8-47	Rs.80/- 80-5-90 E.B.6-150.	Rs.108/-	S.S.L.C.	Rs.120/- fixed according to the - resolution of the - Corporation dated - 28-5-1951.
2.	Sri. M.Ramaiah	Market Inspector.	4-4-1920	27-0-17	Rs.68/- 60-4-80.	21-4-47.	Rs.68/- 60-5-90/E.B. 6-150.	Rs.102/-	-	Rs.102/- fixed - according to the - resolution of the - Corporation dated - 28-5-1951.

COMMISSIONER,
CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE.

CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE.
ALLOCATION-STATEMENT.

Sl.No.	NAME.	DESIGNATION.	POSITION IN THE PRESENT GRADE.			ALLOCATION IN THE REVISED MYSORE SCALE.			
			Date of entry into service.	Total service on 13-7-47.	Pay in the present grade 13-7-47.	Date of promotion to present pay.	Initial pay - according to Cl.4 and - revised grade.	Pay in the - weightage according to Cl.4 & 5.	Qualifications. Remarks
				Y.M.D.					
	Sri.D.Krishnaswami	Assistant Octroi Superintendent.	21-8-1922.	24-10-25	Rs.90/- 80-5-100.	13-8-47	Rs.90/- 80-5-90 E.B.6-150.	Rs.108/-	S.S.L.C.Rs.120/- fixed according to the - resolution of the - Corporation dated - 28-5-1951.
2.	Sri. H.Ramaiah	Market Inspector.	4-4-1920	27-0-17	Rs.68/- 60-4-80.	21-4-47.	Rs.68/- 60-5-90/E.B. 6-150.	Rs.102/-	Rs.102/- fixed - according to the - resolution of the - Corporation dated - 28-5-1951

COMPTROLLER,
CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE.

PROPOSITION STATEMENT OF THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE FOR REVISED SCALES OF PAY.

No.	Designation.	Pay and Scale.	Average Cost.	No.	Designation.	Pay and Scale.	Average Cost.	Increase per Month.
1.	Market Inspector	60-4-80	75/-	1	Market Inspector	60-5-90/E.B. 6-150.	111	36
2.	Assistant Octroi Superintendent.	80-5-90/E.B. 6-150.	121/2/9	1	Assistant Octroi Superintendent.	80-5-90/E.B. 6-150.	121 2/9	

COMMISSIONER,
CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE.

PROPOSITION STATEMENT OF THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE FOR REVISED SCALES OF PAY.

No.	Designation.	Pay and Scale.	Average Cost.	No.	Designation.	Pay and Scale.	Average Cost.	Increase per Month.
1.	Market Inspector	60-4-80	75/-	1	Market Inspector	60-5-90/E.B. 5-150.	111	36
2.	Assistant Octroi Superintendent.	80-5-90/E.B. 6-150.	121/2/9	1	Assistant Octroi Superintendent.	80-5-90/E.B. 6-150.	121 2/9	

N Muhammad

COMMISSIONER,
CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE.

PROPOSITION STATEMENT OF THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF BANGALORE FOR REVISED SCALES OF PAY.

No.	Designation.	Pay and Scale.	Average Cost.	No.	Designation.	Pay and Scale.	Average Cost.	Increase per Month.
1.	Market Inspector	60-4-80	75/-	1	Market Inspector	60-5-90/E.B. 6-150.	111	36
2.	Assistant Octroi Superintendent.	80-5-90/E.B. 6-150.	121/2/9	1	Assistant Octroi Superintendent.	80-5-90/E.B. 6-150.	121 2/9	

Commissioner,
Corporation of the City of Bangalore.